Lorenz and Swenson (1951) indicate that (at the time of their study) the outer edges of the valley had the highest water-level altitudes in June as a result of spring runoff. Since their report was prepared, the hydrologic regimen has changed because irrigation in the valley has increased and a system of drains has been dug by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.

Ground water is discharged by evapotranspiration and by flow into the lower reaches of Prickly Pear, Tenmile, and Silver Creeks, a system of drainage ditches, and Lake Helena directly. Withdrawals from wells constitute a relatively small, but important, part of groundwater discharge.

Well construction

Two types of well construction predominate in the Helena valley. The first type is a drilled or driven well which is 2 to 8 inches in diameter and is cased with metal. Most casings are open only at the bottom of the casing but some are perforated. The second type is a hand- or machinedug well cased with wood, brick, cement, or metal. Dug wells, generally, are shallow, open only at the bottom, and 2 to 4 feet in diameter. Some wells are a combination; a dug well which has been deepened by drilling or driving a smaller diameter casing inside the old well. Wells are used mainly to supply stock, domestic, and irrigation water. Dug wells generally have smaller yields than deeper drilled wells, but supply enough water for domestic- and stock-supply purposes.

Collection and handling of water samples

Three suites of samples were collected. The first suite of water samples was collected to determine the general character of the ground water. This suite of 20 samples was analyzed for the more common inorganic constituents and detergent (Methylene Blue Active Substance, MBAS). Included were 18 samples collected from wells and a sample from Lake Helena and one from the Helena Valley Regulating Reservoir (table 2). The second suite of samples was collected to determine the effect of septic-tank effluent on the ground The second suite included 69 samples analyzed for constituents indicative of man's activities (table 3) and 65 samples analyzed for the presence of coliform bacteria. The third suite of 10 samples was collected to determine the effect of industrial processes on the ground water. This suite of 10 samples was analyzed for selected trace elements (table 4).

All analyses tabulated herein were done at the U.S. Geological Survey laboratory in Salt Lake City, Utah. Most samples for bacteriological analyses cited were analyzed at the Montana Department of Health Laboratory in Helena. Five bacteriological analyses were made in the U.S. Geological

Survey laboratory in Helena.

Different chemical constituents require different treatment to prevent deterioration before analysis in the laboratory. Several samples were collected at each site. A complete set of samples included four samples—one of untreated water, one of water filtered through a 0.45 micrometer membrane, one of water filtered and acidified so as to lower the pH to about 3 by the addition of double-distilled reagent-grade nitric acid, and one of water filtered and treated with mercuric chloride. Immediately after collection and treatment, the samples were chilled to about 4°C and airmailed in a refrigerated chest to the laboratory in Salt Lake City for analysis.

Samples for bacteriological analysis were collected in sterile bottles after the collection points had been disinfected by heat from a blow torch. These samples were taken to the laboratories in Helena where the analyses were

begun within 4 hours.

Quality of ground water

General character of the ground water

The results of the analyses for common-found inorganic constituents (table 2) show the ground water to be a calcium bicarbonate type with the exceptions of samples from wells 10N3W16dca and 10N4W15dbb. Total hardness ranged from 100 to 520 mg/l (milligrams per liter) and averaged 229 mg/l; the water in general is considered "hard". Samples from wells 10N4W5dbb and 11N4W13dd had a dissolved-solids content greater than 500 mg/l and the average of the 18 well samples was 354 mg/l dissolved solids. Sample 10N4W15dbb, which had the highest dissolved-solids content and total hardness, 889 mg/l and 520 mg/l respectively, was a mixed ion type. The well sampled is near the edge of the study area and the constituents in the water are probably derived from a local source. Sample 10N3W16dca had an anomalously high sodium chloride content and low calcium content.

Samples from wells 10N4W23bab, 11N3W21dcc, and 11N3W30dad, and the sample from Lake Helena had iron and manganese concentrations higher than recommended limits for potable supplies. The objection to iron and manganese in excess of established limits is mainly esthetic and economic. Higher concentrations may produce reddish or brownish stains and impair the taste of the water. A possible source of metals in ground water from wells in 11N3W21dcc and 11N3W30dad and in water from Lake Helena is drainage from the Scratchgravel Hills, where iron and manganese oxides are common in the rocks. Another possible source throughout the aquifer is solution of iron and manganese oxides that have formed coatings on the gravel and boulders in the basin-fill deposits. All other constituents analyzed were less than the limits recommended for drinking water.

Table 2.—Chemical analyses of water samples from 18 wells and two reservoirs

(Concentrations in milligrams per liter except as indicated)

Location	Depth of well or elevation of reservoir (feet)	Date of collection	Silica (SiO2), dissolved	Iron (Fe), dissolved $(ug/1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	Manganese (Mn) dissolved $(ug/1)$ $1/2$	o Calcium (Ca),	Magnesium (Mg), dissolved	Sodium (Na), dissolved	Potassium (K), dissolved	Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)	Carbonate (CO3)	Alkalinity total (as CaCO3)	Sulfate (SO4) dissolved
10N3W3cab 10N3W5aba 10N3W6acd 10N3W7abb 10N3W11dbd 10N3W15bad 10N3W16dca 10N3W17aba 10N3W19acc 10N3W24cbd 10N4W23bab 11N3W21dcc 11N3W30dad 11N3W32cab 11N3W33dac 11N4W13ddd	44 42 48 42 60 79 60 90 23 60 85 60 25 25 85	8-17-71 8-17-71 8-18-71 8-18-71 8-16-71 8-17-71 8-17-71 8-17-71 8-18-71 8-18-71 8-18-71 8-18-71 8-18-71 8-18-71 8-18-71	27 23 22 21 22 41 28 24 21 22 32 24 31 17 23 24 13	10 10 10 10 10 20 10 10 10 940 50 10 10	10 < 1 < 1 10 10 < 1 < 1 10 10 < 1 < 1 10 < 1 < 1 1,500 650 60 < 1 < 1 < 1	48 61 62 58 48 35 19 86 75 70 98 32 62 94	12 12 15 15 10 8.0 18 24 25 22 6.7 66 7.6 21 25 16 12 30	15 18 21 21 15 15 120 19 23 15 12 83 17 24 30 15 15 40	3.2 2.9 3.1 3.0 2.8 3.8 3.1 2.9 6.8 2.3 3.2 2.9	175 217 241 244 164 124 191 265 329 272 104 235 139 298 244 213 257	000000000000000000	144 178 198 200 135 102 157 217 270 223 85 193 114 244 200 175 211	64 45 58 53 57 56 70 97 40 51 48 59 55 150
10N2W8caa 11N2W19abc	3800 3651	8-16-71 8-16-71	19 30	20 10	eservo < 1 80	30 67	7.8 18	14 28	3.3 4.4	100 262	14,	105 215	24 71

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ / Micrograms per liter. $\frac{2}{2}$ / Includes nitrite.

Table 2.—Chemical analyses of water samples from 18 wells and two reservoirs—Continued

(Concentrations in milligrams per liter except as indicated)

Chloride (Cl), dissolved	Fluoride (F), dissolved	Nitrate as N2/	Phosphate, dissolved ortho as PO_{μ}	Phosphorus, dissolved ortho as P	Phosphorus as P, dissolved	Dissolved solids (sum of constituents)	Calcium, PH Calcium, Cap.	Noncarbonate Os s	Percent sodium	Sodium-adsorption ratio	Specific conductance (micromhos/cm at 25°C)	Hd	Temperature (°C)	Detergents (MBAS)
Wells														
2.1 6.8 6.4 5.5 3.0 2.2 120 28 21 27 2.1 110 3.0 12 13 6.9 46	.2 .2 .3 .4 .6 .4 .2 <.1 .5 .2	1.5 .93 .42 .24 1.5 .61 .36 .53 .67 .11 .64 1.6 .01 .14 1.5 .69 .33 2.7	0.12 .15 .06 .21 .06 .06 .18 .09 .09 .15 .12 .03 .77 1.2 .15 .18 .06	0.04 .05 .02 .07 .02 .06 .03 .05 .04 .01 .25 .38 .05 .06 .02	0.09 .10 .05 .08 .09 .07 .07 .09 .07 .10 .05 .26 .43 .06 .07 .07	264 280 308 299 245 205 489 419 376 350 178 889 198 360 402 312 285 514	170 200 220 210 160 120 310 290 280 100 520 110 240 290 230 200 360	26 24 19 6 27 19 0 96 20 60 17 320 0 48 33 30 150	16 16 17 18 17 21 67 12 15 10 20 26 24 17 18 12 14 19	0.5 .6 .6 .6 .5 .6 .4 .5 .6 .7 .7 .8 .4 .5 .9	386 418 487 478 364 303 669 630 605 568 256 1,290 625 483 434 802	7.7 7.6 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	20.5 15.5 17.5 19.0 15.0 16.0 15.5 11.0 16.0 10.0 13.5 18.5 15.5	<0.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01
						Reser	voirs							
5.7 12	.7	.11	.09 1.4	.03 .44	.07	168 362	110 240	2 26	22 20	.6 .8	256 520	8.5 8.3	18.5 22.0	< .01 < .01

Quality related to man's activities

Of the suite of samples collected for analyses for constituents indicative of man's activities, 64 were from private wells and 5 were from buried drains. Concentrations of all constituents in the samples analyzed were within recommended limits for drinking water. The analyses are presented in table 3; ranges and median values of the various constituents are as follows:

Constituent	Range, mg/l	Median, mg/l
Chloride, dissolved (as Cl)	1.4 - 92	9.4
Nitrate, dissolved (as N)	< .1 - 6.3	1.0
Nitrite, dissolved (as N)	< .0101	< .01
Methylene blue active	< .0102	< .01
substance		
Phosphorus, dissolved (as P)	.0147	.06

Although septic-tank effluent is continuously added to the valley-fill deposits, concentrations of the constituents in the sampled ground water were relatively low. Three possible explanations of this are: 1) dilution in ground water, 2) concentration at shallow horizons in the aquifer, or 3) a combination of one and two.

Water-quality maps were prepared to show the areal distribution of nitrate and chloride (figs. 4 and 5). These maps are based on data from tables 2 and 3. If the results for samples from buried drains and the two reservoirs are excluded, nitrate ranges from less than 0.1 to 6.3 mg/l and has a median of 0.9 mg/l for 82 analyses; chloride ranges from 1.4 to 120 mg/l and has a median of 8.4 mg/l.

Table 3.—Chemical constituents that may be indicative of man's activities. Water samples from wells and drainage ditches

(Concentrations in milligrams per liter)

Location	Depth of well (feet)	Date of collection	Chloride (Cl), dissolved	Nitrite as N, dissolved	Nitrate as N, dissolved	Phosphorus as P, dissolved	Specific conductance (micromhos per cm at 25°C)	Temperature (°C)	Detergents (MBAS)
10N2W7baa 10N2W19aad 10N2W29bcc 10N3W2bdd 10N3W3cac 10N3W6bcc 10N3W6caa 10N3W6cdc 10N3W6dca 10N3W7ada2 10N3W7dbc 10N3W7dbc 10N3W7ddc 10N3W8bba 10N3W8bba 10N3W1baa 10N3W1laaa	38 74 80 50 45 45 40 40 32 50 60 52 82 53 64 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	8-31-71 9-1-71 9-1-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-23-71 8-23-71 8-23-71 8-23-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-31-71 9-1-71 8-31-71 9-1-71 8-31-71 9-1-71 8-31-71 9-1-71 8-31-71 9-1-71 8-31-71 9-1-71 8-31-71 9-1-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 9-1-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-31-71	9.5 8.0 29 3.9 11 3.4 15 4.8 9.2 7.9 10 8.5 26 14,1 19 8.6 3.8 3.7 2.1 24 25 16 11 18	<0.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01	1.9 1.2 1.8 2.7 1.4 2.1 1.5 7.2 1.9 1.7 1.8 1.0 1.5 6.6 1.3 1.4 6.6	0.07 .09 .08 .09 .08 .02 .01 .01 .02 .02 .02 .03 .07 .08 .07 .08 .07 .06 .08 .01 .02 .01	398 390 733 402 372 467 325 552 470 478 507 488 507 488 507 401 361 318 315 299 636 708 1,060 649 528 618	17.0 14.5 14.0 12.0 13.5 14.5 13.0 15.0 13.0 14.0 13.5 12.5 12.5 14.5 15.5	<0.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01
10N3W18ddd 10N3W22bac 10N3W23bbb	55 40	9-1-71 9-1-71	9.4	<.01 <.01		.08	387 235	15.5	<.01 <.01

Table 3.—Chemical constituents that may be indicative of man's activities. Water samples from wells and drainage ditches—Continued

(Concentrations in milligrams per liter)

Location	Depth of well (feet)	Date of collection	Chloride (Cl), dissolved	Nitrite as N, dissolved	Nitrate as Nø dissolved	Phosphorus as P, dissolved	Specific conductance (micromhos per cm at 25°C)	Temperature (°C)	Detergents (MBAS)
10N3W25bbb 10N4W1aab 10N4W12dad 10N4W13cbb 10N4W14bba 10N4W23aad 10N4W23bac2 11N2W31bcb 11N3W18dcd 11N3W19dbc 11N3W29abb 11N3W29abb 11N3W29bac 11N3W30daa 11N3W30dbd 11N3W31dcc 11N3W31dcc 11N3W31dcc 11N3W31dcc 11N3W32bad 11N3W32bad 11N3W32bad 11N3W32ccd 11N3W32cdc 11N3W32cdc 11N3W32cdd 11N3W33cdd	28 451/ BD1/ 40 10 571/ 55 54 BD1/ BD1/	9-1-71 8-30-71 8-30-71 8-30-71 8-30-71 8-30-71 8-30-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-25-71 8-25-71 8-24-71 1171 8-24-71 1171 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-24-71 8-25-71 8-31-71 8-31-71 8-25-71	4.3 11 5.9 3.7 5.9 18 5.1 3.3 6.6 16 11 20 32 23 16 41 17 18 7.2 6.9 8.1 16 17 7.2 6.9 8.1 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	<0.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01	0.9 .7 .3 .1 .5 .1 .5 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1	0.08 .07 .06 .06 .06 .06 .06 .07 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .06 .02 .09 .05 .06 .06 .06	410 393 485 794 415 687 687 641 641 641 642 643 644 644 645 645 645 645 645 645	18.5 14.0 16.0 11.0 13.5 22.5 15.0 12.0 27.0 20.0 13.5 7.0 10.5 11.0 15.0 15.0 13.0 14.0 5.0 11.0 9.0 12.0 15.0 11.0	<0.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01 <.01

^{1/} Buried drainage ditch

Table 3.—Chemical constituents that may be indicative of man's activities. Water samples from wells and drainage ditches—Continued

(Concentrations in milligrams per liter)

Location	Depth of well (feet)	Date of collection	Chloride (Cl), dissolved	Nitrite as N, dissolved	Nitrate as N, dissolved	Phosphorus as P, dissolved	Specific conductance (micrombos per cm at 25°C)	Temperature (°C)	Detergents (MBAS)
11N4W24daa 11N4W25baa 11N4W25daa 11N4W25ddd 11N4W36baa	90 60 74	8-25-71 8-25-71 8-25-71 8-30-71 8-30-71	19 92 19 11 6.1	< 0.01 < .01 < .01 < .01 4 < .01	1.6 4.1 .9 .9	0.05 .01 .04 .07	842 683 511 525 379	11.0 13.0 15.5 13.0 14.0	< 0.01 < .01 < .01 < .01 < .01

Except for a few high values, the highest was 6.3 mg/l, the normal range of nitrate in the valley is less than 0.1 to 2.0 mg/l. The map (fig. 4) indicates that some areas in the valley have higher concentrations than other areas. patterns of nitrate concentrations and the direction of ground-water flow (fig. 3) imply that the sources of nitrate are in the valley. Likely sources include septic-tank effluent, leachate from the landfill dump, nitrogen fertilizers, and animal wastes. Three areas of highest concentrations (centered near 10N3W17b, 10N3W5b, and 11N3W29c) coincide with more densely populated parts of the valley and, could be mainly related to septic-tank effluent. The area of high concentrations centered near 10N3W17b could be related to septic-tank effluent, animal wastes, or to sewage, which was reported to have been used to float the gold dredge that operated at 10N3W18 during the 1950's. Also, this high concentration centered near 10N3W17b could be partly caused by leachate from the landfill dump (10N3W30ba). The leachate could move through the highly permeable tailings left by the gold dredge. Two other highs (centered near 10N2W7 and 10N3W2) located in agricultural parts of the valley might be related to nitrogen fertilizers. Lower concentrations along Tenmile and Prickly Pear Creeks and around the edges of the study area (recharge area) indicate that ground water moving into the valley tends to dilute nitrate concentrations and flush the nitrate down gradient.

The areas of greatest chloride concentrations (fig. 5) are directly north of Helena and centered in 11N3W29. Agricultural areas in the east-central part of the valley do not have the relatively high concentrations of chloride that they do of nitrate. This difference is probably because the only major source of chloride in the agricultural areas would be solution of the basin-fill deposits. In addition to solution of minerals, major sources of chloride include salt used on streets during the winter and septic-tank effluent. Areas of low chloride concentrations, particularly along Tenmile and Prickly Pear Creeks, probably indicate

that recharge is low in chloride.